



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/917,741 | 07/31/2001 | Kazunori Masaki | 35.C15637 | 1261 |
| 5514 | 7590 | 03/23/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | BLAIR, DOUGLAS B | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2142 | |
| DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/917,741 | MASAKI, KAZUNORI | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Douglas B Blair | 2142 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 1-4, 6-14, and 16-25 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-14, 16-17, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,073,075 to Kondou et al..

4. As to claim 1, Kondou teaches a data output system in which a plurality of output apparatuses and a plurality of information accumulating apparatuses are connected together through a network, and data stored in one of the plurality of information accumulating apparatuses is output by one of the plurality of output apparatuses, comprising: pursuing means for pursuing a user's location (col. 5, lines 6-35); data transmission means for selecting one of the plurality of information accumulating apparatuses that corresponds to location information indicative of the user's location pursued by said pursuing means and transmitting data that has been stored in another of the plurality information accumulating apparatuses from the another information accumulating apparatus to the selected information accumulating apparatus; (col. 5,

lines 6-35); and output processing means of transmitting the data transmitted to the selected information accumulating apparatus by said data transmission means from the information accumulating apparatus to one of the plurality of output apparatuses in accordance with an instruction from the user for output of the data (col. 5, lines 6-35).

5. As to claim 2, Kondou teaches a data output system according to claim 1, further comprising the provision of: information display means for displaying the data accumulated in the information accumulating apparatuses on the screen of an information processing apparatus used by the user (col. 5, lines 6-35); designating means for designating desired data from among the displayed data (col. 5, lines 6-35); and transmitting means for transmitting the designated data to the information processing apparatus used by the user (col. 5, lines 6-35).

6. As to claim 3, Kondou teaches a data output system according to claim 1, having user designating means for designating the user and wherein in that the information of the degree of importance or urgency is designated in said user designating means (col. 5, line 58-col. 6, line 43).

7. As to claim 4, Kondou teaches a data output system according to claim 1 further comprising said pursuing means specifies the user's location on the basis of location information transmitted by an information processing apparatus used by the user (col. 5, lines 6-35).

8. As to claim 6, Kondou teaches a data output system according to claim 2, further comprising said information display means displays the data name of the data moved to the nearest information accumulating apparatus and addressed to relevant user on the screen of the information processing apparatus used by the user (col. 5, lines 6-35).

9. As to claim 7, Kondou teaches a data output system according to claim 6, further comprising said output processing means transmits at least one datum selected from among the displayed data to the output apparatus (col. 5, lines 6-35).

10. As to claim 9, Kondou teaches a data output system according to claim 1, further comprising said moving means determines whether the location information has been updated (col. 6, line 47-col. 7, line 21), and selects the information accumulating apparatus corresponding to the location information in conformity with the determination that it has been updated (col. 6, line 47-col. 7, line 21).

11. As to claim 10, Kondou teaches a data output system according to claim 1, further comprising said moving means moves the data when the information accumulating apparatus currently storing the data therein and the information accumulating apparatus corresponding to the location information differ from each other (col. 6, line 47-col. 7, line 21).

12. As to claims 11-14 and 16-17 and 19-25 they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-4 and 6-7 and 9-10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

14. Claims 8 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,073,075 to Kondou et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,671,737 to Snowdon et al..

15. As to claim 8, Kondou teaches the data output system of claim 1, however Kondou does not explicitly teach the data being document data with a print apparatus.

Snowdon teaches document data to a node with a print apparatus (col. 13, lines 1-34).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Kondou regarding the delivery of data to terminal with changing locations with the teachings of Snowdon regarding printing with a mobile apparatus because printing devices are commonly used in networks (Snowdon, col. 1, lines 27-49).

16. As to claim 18, it features the same limitations as claim 8 and is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8.

Response to Arguments

17. Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the following points: a) Kondou does not teach selecting one of a plurality of information accumulating apparatuses that correspond to a user's location and transmitting data that has been stored in another of the plurality of information accumulating apparatuses from the another information accumulating apparatus; and (b) the server in Kondou does not select one terminal from among a plurality of terminals that correspond to the positional information.

18. As points (a), the server in Kondou is an accumulating apparatus because it accumulates information about the various locations. The server distributes this information to wireless apparatuses based on their location.

19. As to point (b), the server in Kondou selects terminals to send information to based on the location.

20. It is understood that the applicant's invention involves second document data to a file server and then to a printer based on a user's location; however the claim language is very broad in nature and does not specifically reflect this concept.

Conclusion

21. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B Blair whose telephone number is 571-272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on 571-272-3896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas Blair

DBB

Jack Harvey
JACK HARVEY
EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2142